1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44 United States Attorney	1332)	
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	CHRISTINA HUA (CSBN 185358) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 3605 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7534 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Tina.hua@usdoj.gov	55	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13 14 15 16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v.)	No. CR 07-0560 JSW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME
17	VALERIAN DOBRE,	ĺ	SAN FRANCISCO VENUE
	Defendant.	{	
18)	
19	The parties in the above-titled case, including the defendant, appeared before the Court for a status hearing on March 27, 2008. At the hearing, the parties requested that the hearing be continued to April 24, 2008 at 2:30 p.m. for a status hearing. The parties, including the defendant		
20			
21			
22			

The parties in the above-titled case, including the defendant, appeared before the Court for a status hearing on March 27, 2008. At the hearing, the parties requested that the hearing be continued to April 24, 2008 at 2:30 p.m. for a status hearing. The parties, including the defendant, also agreed that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from March 27, 2008 to April 24, 2008 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)(and (B)(i) and(iv). The requested continuance from March 27, 2008 to April 24, 2008 is necessary as defense counsel needs additional time to prepare and investigate the case, and speak with the defendant, taking into account that the defendant is incarcerated and requires the assistance of a Spanish interpreter. The continuance is also necessary for all the reasons given in open court. Thus, the parties agreed, and the Court found, that failure to grant a continuance would unreasonable deny counsel for the defense the reasonable time necessary STIPULATION AND [PROP.] ORDER CR 07-0560 JSW

23

24

25

26

27

28

for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and failure to grant such a continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(i) and (iv). Finally, the parties agreed, and the Court found, that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from March 27, 2008 to April 24, 2008, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(A). Accordingly, the Court set a new hearing date on April 24, 2008 and ordered that the period from March 27, 2008 to April 24, 2008, be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(i) a nd (iv). IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: April 1, 2008

CHRISTINA HUA

Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: April 1, 2008

ERIC MATTHEW HAIRSTON
Attorney for Defendant Valerian Dobre

IT IS SO ORDERED. For all the reasons set forth above and in open court, the time from March 27, 2008 to April 24, 2008 shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(i) and (iv), as the ends of justice outweigh the interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: April 2, 2008

FAFREY S. WHITE Vite State District Judge